

Revisiting Disability Studies in HCI: From Access to Justice through Artistic Practices

Puneet Jain
Concordia University
Montreal, Quebec, Canada
Zurich University of the Arts
Zurich, Switzerland
puneet.jain@mail.concordia.ca

Katta Spiel
Crip Collective || TU Wien
Wien, Austria
katta.spiel@tuwien.ac.at

Chris Salter
Zurich University of the Arts
Zurich, Switzerland
christopher.salter@zhdk.ch

Abstract

This paper revisits Mankoff, Hayes, and Kasnitz’s 2010 publication “Disability Studies as a Source of Critical Inquiry for the Field of Assistive Technology”—a pivotal work that introduced Disability Studies (DS) into HCI and reoriented accessibility research through cultural, social, and political perspectives. Fifteen years later, while DS has profoundly shaped research practices in HCI, scholars continue to note the limited representation of disabled people’s multifaceted identities—prompting calls for Disability Justice (DJ) to expand access beyond rights-based inclusion toward interdependence, creativity, and collective liberation.

We suggest that realizing DJ’s potential in HCI requires returning to its creative and communal roots – through public, artistic, and collective practices that center crip joy and disabled expertise. As one example, drawing on first author’s research, including *Crip Sensorama*, an XR installation co-authored with disabled artists, we demonstrate how DJ can be practiced as shared, lived experience rather than abstract principle.

Keywords

disability studies, disability justice, artistic interventions, mouth interfaces, XR

ACM Reference Format:

Puneet Jain, Katta Spiel, and Chris Salter. 2026. Revisiting Disability Studies in HCI: From Access to Justice through Artistic Practices. In *Proceedings of Abstracts of the AlpCHI Conference (AlpCHI 2026)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 3 pages. <https://doi.org/XXXXXXXX.XXXXXXX>

1 Disability Studies meets HCI

The year 2010 marked a pivotal moment in Human-Computer Interaction (HCI), signaling the convergence of Disability Studies (DS) and accessibility research. With their paper titled “*Disability Studies as a Source of Critical Inquiry for the Field of Assistive Technology*”, Mankoff et al.¹ [29] envisioned the integration of DS within HCI

¹The link to the PDF of our revisited publication can be accessed here: <https://www.cs.cmu.edu/~io/publications/10MankoffASSETS.pdf>

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

AlpCHI 2026, Ascona, Switzerland

© 2026 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM.
ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-XXXX-X/2018/06
<https://doi.org/XXXXXXXX.XXXXXXX>

to “provide a nuanced understanding of assistance and address the complex interplay of issues at work.” Provoking and reimagining earlier approaches in accessibility, the authors positioned disability not merely as a condition to be addressed but as *a source of critical inquiry* to build assistive technologies. Before this intervention, Disability Studies and HCI existed as largely separate domains—DS theorizing the politics and culture of disability, and HCI focusing on technological solutions to what it treated as “impairment” [22, 25]. Previously this separation had left HCI as the domain of problem-solver (disability constituting a medicalised, individual problem and technology the solution) and Disability Studies as that of critics—each speaking about disability from opposite ends of experience.

Mankoff et al. [29] thus shook the field by bringing these two disciplines into dialogue, foregrounding disability as a cultural, social, and political identity instead and, subsequently, situating disabled experiences as central to the design and evaluation of technologies. This shift marked a move from designing “for” disabled people to designing *with* disabled communities, recognizing lived experiences of disability as driving forces in the building of assistive technologies. In 2025, we can trace how [29]’s research became a cornerstone that paved the way for a wave of disability-led methods [9, 16, 21, 27, 37], frameworks and interventions [3, 12, 15, 28, 32, 36, 43, 44], disability-led designs [23, 33], and crip narratives [1, 8, 10, 17, 24] within HCI—centering disabled people’s needs and expertise toward more equitable technology design.

As researchers positioned at the intersection of HCI, Disability Studies, and the Arts and Humanities, we recognize and revisit this moment as a decisive turning point in HCI. It marked a clear departure from the medical model that framed disability as a problem to be fixed [2, 6], steering HCI toward social, relational, and critical perspectives [13, 26, 35]. The intellectual genealogy stemming from Mankoff et al. [29] demonstrates how their paper became a root node for an expanding body of disability-led HCI research—branching toward critical access studies, lived experience methods, and more recently, disability justice [39].

2 So What Now? Moving towards Disability Justice

In 2025, fifteen years later, despite sustained efforts across the HCI community, disabled people’s multifaceted identities and lived experiences remain underrepresented—exacerbating the continued devaluation of disabled expertise in the field [40, 46]. While the field has made strides in participation and inclusion [38, 42], these advances often stay within rights-based or accommodationist logics,

where access is imagined as (non-disabled) compliance rather than (solidarity-based) coalition. It is precisely for this reason that researchers working at the intersection of Disability Studies and HCI have demanded Disability Justice frameworks that move beyond access as a right toward justice as an ongoing practice of interdependence, allyship, and power-sharing [19, 39, 40]. In this revisitation, we understand Mankoff et al.’s original vision not as unfulfilled, but as *unfinished* – a foundation that contemporary scholars are expanding through justice-based approaches [19, 39, 40]. The question that now confronts the field is how HCI might carry this promise forward—what remains missing in how we understand, research and practice Disability Justice in HCI today?

To speculate on this question, we use this paper as a reminder that Disability Justice (DJ) did not emerge from academic theory but from performance and artistic practice. Its genealogy lies in *Sins Invalid* - the collective founded by Patricia Berne and Leroy Moore—which advanced ten principles of DJ, reframing access as a practice oriented on collective care and interdependence rather than institutional compliance [4]. Across art, design, and technology, disabled artists have long enacted these principles, transforming access considerations into performance, invention, and critique through the very technologies that often exclude them [5, 11, 30]. These practices remind HCI that justice exceeds design standards—it is a goal that needs to be lived, aesthetic, and shared through ongoing acts of interdependence [3].

Through this lineage, we propose a re-orientation in HCI that acknowledges that justice cannot be achieved through standards or interfaces alone; it must be performed, felt, and continually negotiated in relation with others - sometimes not with “users”, but with friends and allies. It is from this unfinished lineage—from Disability Studies to the so far unrealized promise of Disability Justice—that the current work of the authors stems from.



Figure 1: Up: Staging of Crip Sensorama where two visitors sit opposite to each other; Down: A visitor interacting in Crip Sensorama at Ars Electronica Festival for Art, Technology and Society (2024).

3 New Wave of Disability Justice in HCI: A Proposition

As authors situated across critical disability studies, HCI, and the arts, we propose a new wave of Disability Justice in HCI – one that learns from the past and thus insists on artistic and materially grounded disabled-led futures. To ground this proposition, we present a brief case study from the first author Jain’s ongoing doctoral research where he is developing accessible XR interfaces with (and for) his quadriplegic and tetraplegic friends, repositioned through *Crip Sensorama* [18, 20] - an XR art installation.

For example, as a part of SNSF Project, *Probing XR’s Futures*² (2023-2027), led by Salter, Jain is developing custom camera-based mouth-gesture interfaces in XR for and with his friends (not users) who are disabled artists. Jain’s friends are excluded from using general purpose XR devices as these technologies demand extensive body movements, head rotations, hand gestures - none of which match how his disabled friends engage with their everyday environments, such as using mouth-operated assistive devices (XR technologies are critiqued for the same previously in [7, 14, 21, 31]). While Jain’s co-designed interfaces enable his friends to access XR using their cheeks, tongue, and jaw movements, they co-developed *Crip Sensorama* [20], an artwork that uses and reframes these mouth interfaces not as assistive technologies but as instruments for Disability Justice. Installed in public and artistic settings, visitors open their mouths, puff their cheeks, and move their tongues to interact with disabled storytelling in XR - centering Jain’s friend’s love for coffee, which he drinks using a straw (Figure 1). In this way, allowing the visitors to question the implicit ability assumptions in XR [41, 45] through art, these interfaces move beyond access as accommodation; they “perform” justice by sharing crip joy, disabled stories, and expertise through interfaces that center crip design - to engage in what Siebers calls *disability aesthetics* [34]. Refer to [18, 20, 21] for more detailed discussion of this work.

4 Conclusion

In revisiting the pivotal convergence of Disability Studies and HCI, this paper invites the field to rethink access not as accommodation, but as justice. While we demonstrate this through only one example of how Disability Justice might take shape within HCI, this work is intended as a proposition rather than a prescription—a continuation of the foundations laid by Disability Studies in HCI. It reminds the field that Disability Justice has always been artistic and collective at its roots, emerging from creative and relational practices rather than technical solutions. We invite HCI to extend this legacy through the artistic, critical, and reflective practices that Disability Justice continues to demand.

References

- [1] Robin Angelini, Sabrina Burtscher, Felix Fussenegger, Kay Kender, Katta Spiel, Franz Steinbrecher, and Oliver Suchanek. 2023. Criptopias: Speculative Stories Exploring Worlds Worth Wanting. In *Extended Abstracts of the 2023 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–10.

²Based in the Immersive Arts Space at the Zurich University of the Arts, Probing XR’s Futures is a Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF) funded project that explores how XR technologies change physical subjectivity and interaction, using a critical-historical design approach to develop new perspectives on thinking and designing in the use of XR – in the laboratory, public space and in collaboration with disabled groups.

- [2] Gustavo Armagno. 2012. The Role of HCI in the Construction of Disability. In *The 26th BCS Conference on Human Computer Interaction*. BCS Learning & Development.
- [3] Cynthia L Bennett, Erin Brady, and Stacy M Branham. 2018. Interdependence as a frame for assistive technology research and design. In *Proceedings of the 20th international acm sigaccess conference on computers and accessibility*. 161–173.
- [4] Patricia Berne, Aurora Levins Morales, David Langstaff, and Sins Invalid. 2018. Ten principles of disability justice. *WSQ: Women's Studies Quarterly* 46, 1 (2018), 227–230.
- [5] BlinkPopShift. 2018. Masking Machine (Photography Series). <https://www.blinkpopshift.com/projects/masking-machine-photography-series>. Retrieved Nov 14, 2025.
- [6] Simon Brisenden. 1986. Independent living and the medical model of disability. *Disability, Handicap & Society* 1, 2 (1986), 173–178.
- [7] Chris Creed, Maadh Al-Kalbani, Arthur Theil, Sayan Sarcar, and Ian Williams. 2023. Inclusive augmented and virtual reality: A research agenda. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction* (2023), 1–20.
- [8] Nicholas Sheep Dalton. 2013. Neurodiversity & hci. In *CHI'13 Extended abstracts on human factors in computing systems*. 2295–2304.
- [9] Maitraye Das, Darren Gergle, and Anne Marie Piper. 2019. "It doesn't win you friends" Understanding Accessibility in Collaborative Writing for People with Vision Impairments. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 3, CSCW (2019), 1–26.
- [10] Yesica Duarte and Puneet Jain. 2025. Challenging Disability and Interaction Norms in XR: Cooling Down the Empathy Machine in Waiting for Hands. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2507.15481* (2025).
- [11] Eye Writer Team. 2010. Eye Writer. <https://www.eyewriter.org/>. Accessed May 17, 2025.
- [12] Sue Fletcher-Watson, Hanne De Jaegher, Jelle Van Dijk, Christopher Frauenberger, Maurice Magnée, and Juan Ye. 2018. Diversity computing. *Interactions* 25, 5 (2018), 28–33.
- [13] Christopher Frauenberger. 2015. Disability and technology: A critical realist perspective. In *Proceedings of the 17th International ACM SIGACCESS Conference on Computers & Accessibility*. 89–96.
- [14] Kathrin Gerling, Patrick Dickinson, Kieran Hicks, Liam Mason, Adalberto L Simeone, and Katta Spiel. 2020. Virtual reality games for people using wheelchairs. In *Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–11.
- [15] Megan Hofmann, Devva Kasnitz, Jennifer Mankoff, and Cynthia L Bennett. 2020. Living disability theory: Reflections on access, research, and design. In *Proceedings of the 22nd International ACM SIGACCESS Conference on Computers and Accessibility*. 1–13.
- [16] Dhruv Jain, Audrey Desjardins, Leah Findlater, and Jon E Froehlich. 2019. Autoethnography of a hard of hearing traveler. In *Proceedings of the 21st International ACM SIGACCESS Conference on Computers and Accessibility*. 236–248.
- [17] Dhruv Jain, Venkatesh Potluri, and Ather Sharif. 2020. Navigating graduate school with a disability. In *Proceedings of the 22nd International ACM SIGACCESS Conference on Computers and Accessibility*. 1–11.
- [18] Puneet Jain. 2024. Crip Sensorama: Re-Imagining XR with People with Sensorimotor Disabilities through Criptastic Hacking. In *Proceedings of the 12th Conference on Computation, Communication, Aesthetics & X (xCoAx 2024)*. Fabrica, Treviso, Italy. doi:10.34626/2024_xcoax_031
- [19] Puneet Jain. 2025. Rethinking Methods for Disability Justice in HCI: Focusing Art Activism, Criptastic Hacking, and Disability Aesthetics. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/394344798_Rethinking_methods_for_Disability_Justice_in_HCI_Focusing_Art_Activism_Criptastic_Hacking_and_Disability_Aesthetics. Accepted at the Access InContext Workshop, CHI 2025; Accessed May 17, 2025.
- [20] Puneet Jain and Yesica Duarte. 2024. Crip Sensorama - Ars Electronica Festival, 2024. <https://ars.electronica.art/hope/de/crip-sensorama/>. Accessed: 2024-10-10.
- [21] Puneet Jain and Chris Lloyd Salter. 2025. "Can I use my mouth to navigate in VR?": Integrating Mouth Gestures in XR with (and for) Disabled Artists through Criptastic Hacking. In *Extended Abstracts of the CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–8.
- [22] Simeon Keates, Faustina Hwang, Patrick Langdon, P John Clarkson, and Peter Robinson. 2002. Cursor measures for motion-impaired computer users. In *Proceedings of the fifth international ACM conference on Assistive technologies*. 135–142.
- [23] Kay Kender and Katta Spiel. 2023. Banal Autistic Social Media: A Found Footage Autoethnography. In *Proceedings of the 25th International ACM SIGACCESS Conference on Computers and Accessibility*. 1–7.
- [24] Lindy Le. 2024. "I Am Human, Just Like You": What Intersectional, Neurodivergent Lived Experiences Bring to Accessibility Research. In *Proceedings of the 26th International ACM SIGACCESS Conference on Computers and Accessibility*. 1–20.
- [25] V Kathlene Leonard, Julie A Jacko, and Joseph J Pizzimenti. 2005. An exploratory investigation of handheld computer interaction for older adults with visual impairments. In *Proceedings of the 7th international ACM SIGACCESS conference on Computers and accessibility*. 12–19.
- [26] Kelly Mack, Emma McDonnell, Dhruv Jain, Lucy Lu Wang, Jon E. Froehlich, and Leah Findlater. 2021. What do we mean by "accessibility research"? A literature survey of accessibility papers in CHI and ASSETS from 1994 to 2019. In *Proceedings of the 2021 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–18.
- [27] Kelly Mack, Emma McDonnell, Venkatesh Potluri, Maggie Xu, Jaily Zabala, Jeffrey Bigham, Jennifer Mankoff, and Cynthia Bennett. 2022. Anticipate and adjust: Cultivating access in human-centered methods. In *Proceedings of the 2022 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–18.
- [28] Kelly Mack, Emma J McDonnell, Leah Findlater, and Heather D Evans. 2022. Chronically under-addressed: Considerations for HCI accessibility practice with chronically ill people. In *Proceedings of the 24th International ACM SIGACCESS Conference on Computers and Accessibility*. 1–15.
- [29] Jennifer Mankoff, Gillian R Hayes, and Devva Kasnitz. 2010. Disability studies as a source of critical inquiry for the field of assistive technology. In *Proceedings of the 12th international ACM SIGACCESS conference on Computers and accessibility*. 3–10.
- [30] Darrin Martin. n.d. Ancestral Songs [Video installation]. <https://darrinmartin.myportfolio.com/ancestral-songs>. Retrieved May 17, 2025.
- [31] Martez Mott, John Tang, Shaun Kane, Edward Cutrell, and Meredith Ringel Morris. 2020. "i just went into it assuming that i wouldn't be able to have the full experience" understanding the accessibility of virtual reality for people with limited mobility. In *Proceedings of the 22nd International ACM SIGACCESS Conference on Computers and Accessibility*. 1–13.
- [32] Ekaterina Osipova, Jay Rodolitz, Kirk Andrew Crawford, Kay Kender, Ana O Henriques, Chorong Park, Patricia Piedade, Rachel E Wood, and Katta Spiel. 2025. Disability Intimacy in HCI: Defining a Community-Driven Research Agenda. In *Proceedings of the 27th International ACM SIGACCESS Conference on Computers and Accessibility*. 1–9.
- [33] Phoenix Perry. 2024. *Ctrl shift: How crip alt ctrl designers change the game and reimagine access*. Ph. D. Dissertation. Goldsmiths, University of London.
- [34] Tobin Siebers. 2010. *Disability aesthetics*. Vol. 2. University of Michigan Press Ann Arbor.
- [35] Katta Spiel. 2024. Practicing humility: Design as response, Not as Solution. *Postdigital Science and Education* 6, 1 (2024), 25–31.
- [36] Katta Spiel, Kathrin Gerling, Cynthia L Bennett, Emeline Brulé, Rua M Williams, Jennifer Rode, and Jennifer Mankoff. 2020. Nothing about us without us: Investigating the role of critical disability studies in HCI. In *Extended Abstracts of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–8.
- [37] Kate Stephens, Matthew Butler, Leona M Holloway, Cagatay Goncu, and Kim Marriott. 2020. Smooth sailing? Autoethnography of recreational travel by a blind person. In *Proceedings of the 22nd International ACM SIGACCESS Conference on Computers and Accessibility*. 1–12.
- [38] Angelika Strohmayer, Cayley MacArthur, Velvet Spors, Michael Muller, Morgan Vigil-Hayes, and Ebtisam Alabdulqader. 2019. CHIInclusion: Working toward a more inclusive HCI community. In *Extended Abstracts of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–10.
- [39] Cella M Sum, Rahaf Alharbi, Franchesca Spektor, Cynthia L Bennett, Christina N Harrington, Katta Spiel, and Rua Mae Williams. 2022. Dreaming disability justice in HCI. In *CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems Extended Abstracts*. 1–5.
- [40] Cella M Sum, Franchesca Spektor, Rahaf Alharbi, Leya Breanna Baltaxe-Admony, Erika Devine, Hazel Anneke Dixon, Jared Duval, Tessa Eagle, Frank Elavsky, Kim Fernandes, et al. 2024. Challenging ableism: A critical turn toward disability justice in hci. *XRDS: Crossroads, The ACM Magazine for Students* 30, 4 (2024), 50–55.
- [41] Radu-Daniel Vatavu. 2022. Sensorimotor realities: Formalizing ability-mediating design for computer-mediated reality environments. In *2022 IEEE International Symposium on Mixed and Augmented Reality (ISMAR)*. IEEE, 685–694.
- [42] Rafael Vecrar, Anna Blumenkranz, Moritz Kubesch, and Christopher Frauenberger. 2024. Designing Diversity Computing Spaces: How to Foster Meaningful Interactions for Early Adolescents: Two Hands-On Examples. In *8th International Conference on Computer-Human Interaction Research and Applications*.
- [43] Rua Mae Williams, Louanne Boyd, and Juan E Gilbert. 2023. Counterinterventions: a reparative reflection on interventionist HCI. In *Proceedings of the 2023 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–11.
- [44] Rua M Williams, Kathryn Ringland, Amelia Gibson, Mahender Mandala, Arne Maibaum, and Tiago Guerreiro. 2021. Articulations toward a crip HCI. *Interactions* 28, 3 (2021), 28–37.
- [45] Jacob O Wobbrock, Shaun K Kane, Krzysztof Z Gajos, Susumu Harada, and Jon Froehlich. 2011. Ability-based design: Concept, principles and examples. *ACM Transactions on Accessible Computing (TACCESS)* 3, 3 (2011), 1–27.
- [46] Anon Ymous, Katta Spiel, Os Keyes, Rua M Williams, Judith Good, Eva Hornecker, and Cynthia L Bennett. 2020. "I am just terrified of my future"—Epistemic Violence in Disability Related Technology Research. In *Extended Abstracts of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–16.